

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/632,208	08/03/2000	Helmut Mangold	PM271764	1169	
75	90 04/09/2002				
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. 9TH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			DERRINGTON, JAMES H		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1731	8	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002	DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

T-D-8

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/632,208

Applicant(s)

MANGOLD

Examiner

Derrington

Art Unit 1731



The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor communication. 	ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after t earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). he mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This a	ction is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 1-22	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) 1-22	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	
Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
15) Notice of Hererences Cited (PTU-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drewing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/632,208

Art Unit: 1731

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-14, drawn to a product, classified in class 501, subclass 54
 - II. Claims 15-16, drawn to a process, classified in class 264, subclass 621.
 - III. Claims 17-22, drawn to processes, classified in class 65, subclass 17.2.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as forming a sintered material from a silica tetralkoxide sol.
- 3. Inventions Group I and Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in materially different processes as evidenced by the plurality of methods as recited in claims 17-22.
- 4. Inventions Group II and Group III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention of Group II has separate utility such

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/632,208

Art Unit: 1731

as formation of a sintered material for use in formation of dielectric material as part of a

semiconductor. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a 5.

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Michael A. Sanzo on April 4, 2002 to request an oral 6.

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 7.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to James Derrington whose telephone number is (703) 308-3832.

id

April 4, 2002